## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

CLAUDIO D. & DALINDA ANDRADE,	§	
Plaintiffs,	§	
	§	
<b>v.</b>	§	Civil Action No. 3:14-CV-3969-K
	§	
COUNTRYWIDE KB HOME LOANS,	§	
MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC	§	
<b>REGISTRATION SERVICES, INC.;</b>	§	
G. TOMMY BASTIAN, et al.,	§	
RECONTRUST COMPANY, NA, BANK	§	
OF AMERICA, NA, COUNTRYWIDE	§	
HOME LOANS, INC., THE BANK OF	§	
NEW YORK MELLON, COLFIN AI-TX	§	
1, LLC, and DOES 1-X,	§	
Defendants.	§	Referred to U.S. Magistrate Judge

## RECOMMENDATION REGARDING REQUEST TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS ON APPEAL

Pursuant to *Amended Miscellaneous Order No.* 6 (adopted by *Special Order No.* 2-59 on May 5, 2005), requests to proceed *in forma pauperis* are automatically referred.

Before the Court is the plaintiffs' motion to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal, received April 4, 2016 (doc. 42).

- (X) The motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal should be DENIED for the following reasons:
  - (X) Plaintiffs are not paupers. A review of the financial information provided by plaintiffs shows a total monthly income of \$7,524.00 and total monthly expenses of approximately \$6,205.00. Given this financial information showing monthly income exceeding monthly expenses by \$1,319.00, the Court should conclude that plaintiffs will not suffer undue financial hardship after payment of the \$505.00 filing fee. *See Prows v. Kastner*, 842 F.2d 138, 140 (5th Cir. 1988).

If the Court denies the request to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal, plaintiffs may challenge the denial by filing a separate motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal with the Clerk of Court, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit, within thirty days after service of the notice required by Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(4). See Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(5).

SIGNED this 6th day of April, 2016.

IRMA CARRILLO RAMIREZ

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE